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$d^{\text {th }}$-order masking:

- Every secret-dependent variable $x$ is shared into $d+1$ variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0} \perp x_{1}{ }^{-1} \perp \ldots \perp x_{d}{ }^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- A group operation $\perp=\{\oplus, \otimes\}$
- The masks $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ are randomly generated
- The masked variable: $x_{0} \leftarrow x \perp x_{1} \perp \ldots \perp x_{d}$

Additive $\longrightarrow \quad x_{0} \leftarrow x \oplus x_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus x_{d}$
Multiplicative $\longrightarrow \quad x_{0} \leftarrow x \otimes x_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{d}, \quad x, x_{i} \neq 0$
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## Application

How to apply masking on block ciphers implementations which mix affine transformations and power functions?

Related Works for $d \geq 2$ :


Our Approach: use multiplicative masking for power functions and additive masking for affine transformations
[GenelleProuffQuisquater10] for $d=1$
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Mapping from $G F\left(2^{n}\right)$ into $G F\left(2^{n}\right)^{*}$ (and conversely): [GenelleProuffQuisquater2011]
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Notations:

- Additive masks $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\left(\mathcal{S}_{A M}\right)$

■ Multiplicative masks $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\left(\mathcal{S}_{M M}\right)$

Goal:
Input

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{d}}, & \text { AMtoMM } \\
\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{A M}}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{d}}\right\}, & \\
\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{M M}}=\emptyset & \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{d}}, \\
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{AM}}=\emptyset, \\
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{MM}}=\left\{\mathbf{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_{\mathrm{d}}\right\}
\end{array}
$$
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Masked value
x
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## $\mathrm{X}_{1}$

$\mathrm{x}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1}$
$\mathrm{X}_{2}$
$\underset{\text { masks }}{\text { Multiplicative }}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{MM}}\right)$

```
0
Z
```

    \(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\)
    $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$
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## Security

Three intermediate values:

- $x_{d}$,
$\square x_{d} \otimes z_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes z_{d} \rightarrow z_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes z_{d}$
■ $x \otimes z_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes z_{d} \rightarrow x$
Conversion algorithm is secure when $d=1$ or $d=2$, but not when $d>2$.

Idea: mask at order 1 some additional intermediate values in such that propagation stays straightforward.
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Masked value $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ $\mathrm{x}_{0} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1}$ $\mathrm{x}_{0} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1}$ $\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{~m}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{2}$ $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{2}}$

| Additive masks $\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{AM}}\right)$ |  |  | Multiplicative $\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{MM}}\right)$ masks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\emptyset$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{X}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{m}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ |
|  | $\emptyset$ |  | $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ |
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## Application to a known block cipher

## AES:

- linear layers
- non-linear layer (s-box): composition of an extended multiplicative inverse in $G F\left(2^{8}\right)$ and an affine transformation

Inverse: $x \mapsto x^{254}$ if $x \neq 0$, and equals 0 otherwise

Sum-up: AES mixes affine transformations and a power function
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For $d=2$ :

- double re-computation [SchrammPaar06]
- single re-computation [RivainDottaxProuff08]
- secure exponentiation [RivainProuff10]

For $d=3$ :

- secure exponentiation [RivainProuff10]


## Comparison of AES implementations

| Method | Cycles (10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unprotected | Memplementation (bytes) |  |
| No Masking | 2 | 32 |
| $d=1$ |  |  |
| table re-computation | 10 | 256 |
| tower fields | 77 | 42 |
| multiplicative masking | 22 | 256 |
| secure exponentiation for $d=1$ | 73 | 24 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |

## Comparison of AES implementations

| Method | Cycles (10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unprotected | Memory (bytes) |  |
| No Masking | 2 | 32 |
| $d=1$ |  |  |
| table re-computation | 10 | 256 |
| tower fields | 77 | 42 |
| multiplicative masking | 22 | 256 |
| secure exponentiation for $d=1$ | 73 | 24 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=1$ | 25 | 50 |

## Comparison of AES implementations

| Method | Cycles (10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unprotected | Implementation |  |
| No Masking | 2 | 32 |
| $d=1$ |  |  |
| table re-computation | 10 | 256 |
| tower fields | 77 | 42 |
| multiplicative masking | 22 | 256 |
| secure exponentiation for $d=1$ | 73 | 24 |
| our scheme for d = 1 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| $d=2$ |  |  |
| double re-computations | 594 | $512+28$ |
| single re-computation | 672 | $256+22$ |
| secure exponentiation for $d=2$ | 189 | 48 |
| our scheme for d $=\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |

## Comparison of AES implementations

| Method | Cycles (10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unprotected | Implementation | Memory (bytes) |
| No Masking | 2 | 32 |
| $d=1$ |  |  |
| table re-computation | 10 | 256 |
| tower fields | 77 | 42 |
| multiplicative masking | 22 | 256 |
| secure exponentiation for $d=1$ | 73 | 24 |
| our scheme for d =1 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 50 |
| double re-computations | $=2$ | 594 |
| single re-computation | 672 | $256+22$ |
| secure exponentiation for $d=2$ | 189 | 48 |
| our scheme for d $=2$ | 69 | 86 |

## Comparison of AES implementations

| Method | Cycles (103) | Memory (bytes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unprotected Implementation |  |  |
| No Masking | 2 | 32 |
| $d=1$ |  |  |
| table re-computation | 10 | 256 |
| tower fields | 77 | 42 |
| multiplicative masking | 22 | 256 |
| secure exponentiation for $d=1$ | 73 | 24 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=1$ | 25 | 50 |
| $d=2$ |  |  |
| double re-computations | 594 | $512+28$ |
| single re-computation | 672 | $256+22$ |
| secure exponentiation for $d=2$ | 189 | 48 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=2$ | 69 | 86 |
| $d=3$ |  |  |
| secure exponentiation for $d=3$ | 326 | 72 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=3$ | 180 | 128 |

## Comparison of AES implementations

| Method | Cycles (10 ${ }^{3}$ ) | Memory (bytes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unprotected Implementation |  |  |
| No Masking | 2 | 32 |
| $d=1$ |  |  |
| table re-computation | 10 | 256 |
| tower fields | 77 | 42 |
| multiplicative masking | 22 | 256 |
| secure exponentiation for $d=1$ | 73 | 24 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=1$ | 25 | 50 |
| $d=2$ |  |  |
| double re-computations | 594 | $512+28$ |
| single re-computation | 672 | $256+22$ |
| secure exponentiation for $d=2$ | 189 | 48 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=2$ | 69 | 86 |
| $d=3$ |  |  |
| secure exponentiation for $d=3$ | 326 | 72 |
| our scheme for $\mathbf{d}=3$ | 180 | 128 |

## Conclusion

Our countermeasure:

- dO-SCA resistant (proved)
- best trade-off timing/memory consumptions
- applicable at order 2 and 3 for today products

Thank you! Questions?

